UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,351	06/20/2007	Gerard Hillion	PET-2271	8163
Millen White Zelano & Branigan Suite 1400			EXAMINER	
			PO, MING CHEUNG	
2200 Clarendon Boulevard Arlington, VA 22201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/590,351	HILLION ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MING CHEUNG PO	1797
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTH OF THE M	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20.  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th  3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according to a size and according to the application of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdressed on the application of the applic	awn from consideration.  or election requirement.	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/590,351 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Office Action Summary

- 1. This is the initial office action for application 10/590351 filed on 06/20/2007.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are pending and have been fully considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BRADIN (U.S 5,578,090) in view of English translation of HILLION (FR 2,794,768).

Regarding claims 1-6 and 12, BRADIN teaches an alternate fuel composition that includes a fuel additive composition. The fuel additive composition is prepared by esterifying the free fatty acids and etherifying glycerol with one or more olefins in the presence of an acid catalyst. BRADIN teaches in lines 34-41 of column 3 that the fatty acid alkyl esters and the glyceryl ethers can be prepared by any means known to those of skill in the art. Means for preparing fatty acid alkyl esters include **transesterifying** triglycerides with **alcohols** in the presence of an **acid or base catalyst**. The alcohol is taught in lines 16-20 of column 4 to be any **C**<sub>1-6</sub> **straight**, **branched**, **or cyclic alcohol**, **but preferably ethanol**. The glyceryl ethers are prepared by reacting glycerol with an alkyl halide in the presence of a base of an olefin or an alcohol in the presence of an acid catalyst. The olefin is taught in lines 62-67 of column 4 and lines 1-6 of column 5

to be preferably an unsaturated straight, branched, or cyclic hydrocarbon of  $C_2$  to  $C_{10}$ .

BRADIN does not seem to explicitly teach a heterogeneous catalyst.

However, HILLION teaches in the first paragraph of the description a process for the manufacture of a fatty acid ester by the use of a **heterogeneous catalyst chosen** from zinc oxide, a mixture of zinc oxide and alumina or a zinc aluminate consistent with the formula: ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O4, xZnO, y AL<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x, y= 0-2), with a 1-18C mono-alcohol.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the catalyst that HILLION as the transesterification catalyst in the process that BRADIN teaches.

The motivation to do so would be for the manufacture of a fatty acid ester to a high state of purity.

Regarding claims 7 and 8, BRADIN teaches in lines 17-21 of column 6 that the esterification reactions can be run in both **batch-type** and continuous reactors.

Regarding claim 11, BRADIN teaches in lines 62-67 of column 4 that **isobutylene (isobutene)** may be used as the olefin in the etherification reaction.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, BRADIN teaches in lines 25-32 of column 5 that the esters may be used in biodiesel fuel.

5. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BRADIN (U.S 5,578,090) in view of English translation of HILLION (FR 2794768) and further in view of BOURNAY (U.S. 6,878,837).

The above discussion of BRADIN in view of HILLION is incorporated herein by reference.

Modified BRADIN does not seem to explicitly teach the conditions of the reactor.

However, BOURNAY teaches that alkyl esters of fatty acids and high purity glycerin can be produced by using a process comprising a set of transesterification reactions between a vegetable or animal oil and an aliphatic monoalcohol employing a heterogeneous catalyst. BORUNARY teaches the conditions in lines 8-65 of column 4: upflow reactor; 30x10<sup>5</sup> to 80 x10<sup>5</sup> Pa; 453 to 493 K; HSV of 1.2 h<sup>-1</sup> to 0.1 h<sup>-1</sup>. At least 90% by weight of the oil is converted. More than one reactors may be used. The mixture after reaction undergoes a depressurization phase. In lines 1-6 of column 5, the liquid is decanted in a decanter drum.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the conditions that BORUNAY teaches with a reasonable expectation of success given that both BRADIN and BOURNAY are directed towards the production of esters from fatty acids.

The motivation to use the method that BOURNAY teaches can be found in lines 49-59 of column 2 in BOURNAY. BOURNAY teaches that high purity of glycerin can be formed.

Although BOURNAY does not seem to explicitly teach the ranges claimed in the present invention it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since it has been held that where the general conditions are known, optimization or workable ranges involve only routine experimentation to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention.

6. Claims 1 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DELGADO PUCHE (USPGPUB 2003/0167681) in view of English translation of HILLION (FR 2794768).

Regarding claims 1 and 16, DELGADO PUCHE teaches a procedure to produce biodiesel fuels with improved properties at low temperature by transesterify triglycerides with an alcohol, preferentially methanol or ethanol, in the presence of acid or base catalysts to produce mixtures of methyl or ethyl esters of fatty acids and crude glycerine; isolate the crude glycerin obtained as a secondary product; and then to make all or part of the glycerin react with aldehydes, ketones, to obtain the corresponding acetals.

DELGADO PUCHE does not seem to explicitly teach a heterogeneous catalyst.

However, HILLION teaches in the first paragraph of the description a process for the manufacture of a fatty acid ester by the use of a heterogeneous catalyst chosen from zinc oxide, a mixture of zinc oxide and alumina or a zinc aluminate consistent with the formula: ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O4, xZnO, y AL<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x, y= 0-2), with a 1-18C mono-alcohol.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the catalyst that HILLION as the transesterification catalyst in the process that BRADIN teaches.

The motivation to do so would be for the manufacture of a fatty acid ester to a high state of purity.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1797

Regarding claims 17 and 18, DELGADO PUCHE teaches in paragraph 8 that the glycerine acetals mixed with methyl or ethyl esters of fatty acids in **biodiesel fuels**.

7. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DELGADO PUCHE (USPGPUB 2003/0167681) in view of English translation of HILLION (FR 2794768) in view of NAKAGUCHI (U.S. 3,714,202).

The above discussion of DELGADO PUCHE is incorporated herein by reference.

DELGADO PUCHE does not seem to explicitly state using an acid catalyst in the acetalization step.

However, NAKAGUCHI teaches in lines 22-25 of column 8 that acetal synthesis may be performed with an acid catalyst.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use an acid catalyst in the acetalization step in the process that DELGADO PUCHE teaches.

The motivation to do so would be to speed up the reaction by use of a catalyst.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MING CHEUNG PO whose telephone number is (571)270-5552. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571)272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,351 Page 7

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ellen M McAvoy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

Ming Cheung Po Patent Examiner